

## An example of a bireflectional spin group

By

OLIVER VILLA

**Abstract.** Let  $n$  be the anisotropic norm of a Cayley algebra  $\mathfrak{C}$  over a field  $F$  of characteristic different from 2 where  $-1$  is a square. Let  $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{C}, n)$  be the spin group of the quadratic form  $n$ . We prove that every element in  $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{C}, n)$  is a product of two involutory elements, i.e.  $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{C}, n)$  is bireflectional.

**Introduction.** A group  $G$  is called *bireflectional* if every element is a product of two involutory elements of  $G$ . Here we call  $g \in G$  an *involutory element* if  $g^2 = 1$ . Bachmann suggested to investigate the bireflectionality in the classical groups: the bireflectionality in the orthogonal, symplectic and unitary groups has been studied in many cases (see [2]). On the other hand, to my knowledge, there are no results on the bireflectionality in the spin group of a quadratic form (however, in [1], it was proved that any element in the spin group of a quadratic form, defined over a finite field of odd characteristic, can be written as product of three involutory elements). In this note we prove that the spin group of the anisotropic norm of a Cayley algebra over a field  $F$  of characteristic different from 2 is bireflectional if  $-1$  is a square in  $F$ . The proof goes as follows: for the spin group of the norm of a Cayley algebra there is a very particular description; we use this description and the fact that in our case the special orthogonal group is bireflectional.

**1. The description of the spin group.** The material considered in this section may be found in [4]. For the convenience of the reader we give a brief survey of the notations of [4] that we adopt. Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic different from 2 and  $V$  a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space. Let  $q : V \rightarrow F$  be a quadratic form. We define the symmetric bilinear form  $b_q : V \times V \rightarrow F$  by  $b_q(x, y) := q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y)$  for  $x, y \in V$ , and we assume that  $b_q$  is *nondegenerate*, i.e.  $b_q(x, y) = 0 \forall y \in V$  implies  $x = 0$ .

Let  $A = \text{End}_F(V)$  be the split central simple algebra. The *adjoint anti-involution*  $\sigma_q : A \rightarrow A$  is defined by the equation

$$b_q(x, f(y)) = b_q(\sigma_q(f)(x), y) \text{ for } x, y \in V, f \in A.$$

The Clifford algebra of a quadratic form  $q : V \longrightarrow F$  is denoted by  $C(q)$ , the even Clifford algebra by  $C_0(q)$  (see [4, 8.A]).

We consider a Cayley algebra  $\mathfrak{C}$  over  $F$  with conjugation  $\pi : x \mapsto \bar{x}$  and norm  $n : x \mapsto x\bar{x}$ . For the basic facts on Cayley algebras we refer to [4, Chapter VIII]. The norm  $n$  is a quadratic form defined on  $\mathfrak{C}$  (as in [4], we also denote by  $\mathfrak{C}$  the vector space structure of the Cayley algebra  $\mathfrak{C}$ ). We recall that the *spin group* of  $n : \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow F$  is defined by

$$\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{C}, n) := \{c \in C_0(n) \mid c \cdot \tau(c) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad c \cdot x \cdot c^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C} \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{C}\},$$

where  $\tau$  is the involution induced by the identity on  $\mathfrak{C}$  and the symbol  $\cdot$  denotes the multiplication in the Clifford algebra. To describe the group  $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{C}, n)$ , we define the new multiplication  $x \star y = \bar{x} \bar{y}$ . Let  $r_x(y) := y \star x$  and  $l_x(y) := x \star y$ . Then the map  $\mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \text{End}_F(\mathfrak{C} \oplus \mathfrak{C})$  given by

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & l_x \\ r_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

induces isomorphisms of algebras with involution (see [4, 35.1]):

$$\alpha : (C(n), \tau) \longrightarrow (\text{End}_F(\mathfrak{C} \oplus \mathfrak{C}), \sigma_{n \perp n}),$$

and

$$\alpha_0 : (C_0(n), \tau) \longrightarrow (\text{End}_F(\mathfrak{C}), \sigma_n) \times (\text{End}_F(\mathfrak{C}), \sigma_n).$$

Let  $O^+(n)$  denote the special orthogonal group (i.e. the group of orthogonal transformations with determinant equal to 1). A triple  $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in O^+(n)^3$  will be called *related* if  $t_1(x \star y) = t_2(x) \star t_3(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{C}$ . We define the group  $\text{RT}(\mathfrak{C}, n) := \{(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in O^+(n)^3 \mid (t_1, t_2, t_3) \text{ is related}\}$ .

For every element  $c \in \text{Spin}(\mathfrak{C}, n)$ , we let  $\alpha_0(c) = \begin{pmatrix} t_3 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let  $\chi_c(x) = c \cdot x \cdot c^{-1}$  be the *vector representation*.

**Proposition 1.1.** *The map*

$$\Psi : \text{Spin}(\mathfrak{C}, n) \longrightarrow \text{RT}(\mathfrak{C}, n)$$

*defined by  $\Psi(c) := (\chi_c, t_2, t_3)$  is an isomorphism of groups.*

**Proof.** See [4, 35.7].  $\square$

**2. Bireflectionality.** Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic not 2 and assume that  $-1$  is a square in  $F$ , i.e. there is an element  $s \in F$  with  $s^2 = -1$ . We consider a Cayley algebra  $\mathfrak{C}$  over  $F$  with anisotropic norm  $n$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  is a related triple and  $t_1^2 = 1$ , then  $t_2^2 = t_3^2 = 1$ .*

**Proof.** If  $(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  is a related triple, then  $(t_1^2, t_2^2, t_3^2)$  is a related triple, too. Moreover, the pair  $(t_2, t_3)$  is determined by  $t_1$ , up to a factor  $(\mu, \mu^{-1})$ ,  $\mu \in F^\times$ . The fact that  $t_2 \in O^+(\mathfrak{n})$  implies  $\mu^2 = \pm 1$ . Since  $t_1^2 = 1$  and since the triple  $(1, 1, 1)$  is related, we conclude that  $t_2^2 = t_3^2 = \pm 1$ . Suppose that  $t_2^2 = -1$ . Pick an anisotropic vector  $x$ . The vectors  $x$  and  $t_2(x)$  are orthogonal:

$$b_{\mathfrak{n}}(x, t_2(x)) = b_{\mathfrak{n}}(t_2(x), t_2^2(x)) = -b_{\mathfrak{n}}(x, t_2(x)).$$

Since  $-1$  is a square, the plane generated by  $x$  and  $t_2(x)$  is hyperbolic, a contradiction to the anisotropy of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Hence  $t_2^2 = t_3^2 = 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *The group  $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{n})$  is bireflectional.*

**Proof.** Let  $c \in \text{Spin}(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{n})$  with  $\Psi(c) = (t_1, t_2, t_3)$ .

Since  $\dim \mathfrak{C} = 8 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then, by [3, 3.2], there are two involutory elements  $\sigma_1$  and  $\tau_1$  in  $O^+(\mathfrak{n})$  with

$$t_1 = \sigma_1 \tau_1.$$

By triality (see [4, 35.4]), there are maps  $\sigma_2, \sigma_3$  in  $O^+(\mathfrak{n})$  such that the triple  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  is related. But then the triple  $(\sigma_1 t_1, \sigma_2 t_2, \sigma_3 t_3) = (\tau_1, \sigma_2 t_2, \sigma_3 t_3)$  is related, too. By 2.1,

$$(\sigma_i)^2 = 1, \quad (\sigma_i t_i)^2 = 1, \quad i = 2, 3.$$

We conclude that

$$\Psi(c) = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)(\tau_1, \sigma_2 t_2, \sigma_3 t_3),$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the field of complex numbers. The number  $-1$  is a square in the field  $\mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . The quadratic form

$$\mathfrak{n} = \langle 1, x_1 \rangle \otimes \langle 1, x_2 \rangle \otimes \langle 1, x_3 \rangle$$

over  $\mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is anisotropic and is the norm of a Cayley algebra  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Hence  $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{n})$  is bireflectional.

**Acknowledgement.** I wish to thank Prof. Erich W. Ellers for his comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] P. C. AUSTIN and E. W. ELLERS, Products of Involutions in the Finite Chevalley Groups of type  $F_4(K)$ . *Comm. Algebra* **30**(8), 4019–4029 (2002).
- [2] E. W. ELLERS, Bireflectionality in Classical Groups. *Canad. J. Math.* **29**(6), 1157–1162 (1977).

- [3] F. KNÜPPEL and K. NIELSEN, Products of Involutions in  $O^+(V)$ . Linear Algebra Appl. **94**, 217–222 (1987).
- [4] M. -A. KNUS, A. MERKURJEV, M. ROST and J. -P. TIGNOL, The Book of Involutions. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **44** (1998).

Received: 28 February 2002

Oliver Villa  
ETH Zentrum Zürich  
CH-8092 Zürich  
Switzerland